

Impact of Benzophenone UV Filter on Oxidative Stress Biomarkers and Antioxidant Defense in *Labeo rohita*



Muhammad Atif Ali¹, Sajid Abdullah^{1*}, Khalid Abbas¹, Muhammad Anjum Zia²

¹*Department of Zoology, Wildlife and Fisheries, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Department of Biochemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

*Corresponding Author: uaf_sajidabdullah@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Lately, disintegration of ozone layer has headed to an upsurge in ultraviolet radiation, and its adverse effects on humans and other organisms are well known. As the ultraviolet (UV) filters are the chemical complexes used to protect against UV irradiation, the proliferating use of these filters has led toward an increase in environmental concentrations. Benzophenone (BP) has started to gain the attention of researchers due to its widespread use in cosmetics and personal care products and also its prevalence in the aquatic environment due to its low degradation and high accumulative potential. This study evaluated the 96-h acute toxicity and chronic effects of BP on oxidative stress biomarkers and antioxidant defense in *Labeo rohita*. Fish having the same weight were subjected to increasing concentration of BP (100-1000 µg/L) for acute toxicity testing and their 96-h LC₅₀ and lethal concentration were estimated as 606.67 µg/L and 1033.25 µg/L respectively. Oxidative stress in terms of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) was determined in the gills, liver, kidney, heart and muscles of *L. rohita* after 75 days chronic exposure of sub-lethal (1/3rd, 1/5th, and 1/7th of LC₅₀) BP doses and sampling were done at 15, 30, 45, 60 and 75 days. A significant decline in CAT and SOD activity was observed during the exposure period showing excess reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation which is an indication of oxidative stress confirming the induced toxicity potential of BP.

Keywords Oxidative stress, Acute Toxicity, Chronic toxicity, Ultraviolet Filters, Antioxidant enzymes

Introduction

Aquatic environments are becoming susceptible to anthropogenic contamination, among which ultraviolet (UV) filters are gaining attention because of their extensive application in personal care products, cosmetics, and sunscreens. The concentration of UV filters permitted in cosmetics ranges from 0.1-10% (Kaiser et al., 2012). Only 14 kinds of UV filters are allowed to be used in cosmetics in the United States (Gao et al., 2015). Benzophenone (BP) based UV filters are one of the most commonly used UV filters for skin protection against UV-A and UV-B rays (Kim and Choi, 2014). However, their widespread use has resulted in increased concentration in water bodies through industrial discharge, wastewater effluents, and recreational activities like swimming and bathing (Giokas et al., 2007). As their concentration rises above the threshold in the waterbodies due to low biodegradability and inefficient removal, it becomes a health hazard for the aquatic organisms and disturbs the natural aquatic environment (Ekubo and Abowel, 2011). BP compounds easily accumulate in aquatic organisms due to their high bio-accumulative potential and lipophilic nature (Gago-Ferrero et al., 2013) which results in physiological changes in the organisms. A wide range of ecotoxicological effects of BP filters have been reported in aquatic life from growth inhibition, mortality, oxidative stress, and organ damage, to

interference in hormone signaling and reproduction ((Blüthgen et al., 2012; Du et al., 2017; Velanganni et al., 2021). BP filters have been categorized as a potential endocrine disruptor in fish and have been linked to coral bleaching (Weisbrod et al., 2007). Aquatic invertebrates experienced disordered embryonic development and reproduction (Campos et al., 2019).

It has been reported that BP exposure accelerates the reactive-oxygen-species (ROS) formation in exposed organisms and induces oxidative stress: a state in which there is an imbalance between the amount of ROS produced and the cell's ability to activate antioxidant defense systems to reduce their effects (Velanganni and Miltonprabu 2021). ROS are essential for cell signaling but its high concentrations overwhelm the antioxidant system in the body and lead to cellular damage so it is regarded as an inevitable toxic byproduct of aerobic metabolism (Vaahtera et al., 2014). Important oxidative biomarkers are DNA oxidation, Lipid peroxidation (LPO), and protein carbonylation which are indicators of cellular injury (Choudhary et al., 2007).

Most animals, including fish, have developed mechanisms to mitigate the harmful effects of ROS. This mechanism consists of antioxidant enzymes such as peroxidase, catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx), as well as glutathione-S-transferases (GST), which

are found in many cell compartments. These enzymes are present in vertebrate tissues with a high level of activity in the liver, which is the organ of metabolism and detoxification. Disruption in antioxidant enzyme activity can be indicative of environmental stress. These enzymes can act as accurate molecular biomarkers of oxidative stress and provide insights into the extent of a population's response to prolonged xenobiotic exposure (Brown et al., 1998). Fish species have proven an efficient bioindicator of pollution because they are sensitive to contaminants in water bodies (Walia et al., 2015). *Labeo rohita* is one of the most used species in research as it plays an important role in maintaining ecological balance (FAO, 2018). It is a freshwater fish that is popular in Asian countries especially Pakistan, India, and Bangladesh because of its palatability as well as market demand (Sheikh et al., 2017) and can act as an ideal bioindicator for toxicological studies due to its high sensitivity to contaminants. However, there is no existing literature about the effects of BP on oxidative stress biomarkers in this species. In this sense, the objective of this work was to evaluate the oxidative stress biomarker and antioxidant enzyme defense in *L. rohita* during chronic exposure to sublethal BP concentrations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was performed at Fisheries Toxicology Lab, Department of Zoology, Wildlife and Fisheries, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

Maintenance of test organism

Before the experiment, healthy 90-day-old *L. rohita* fingerlings of equal weight and length were selected and acclimatized to laboratory conditions for ten days in a 70L glass aquarium with 50L of dechlorinated tap water. The fish were fed commercial pelleted feed twice daily, and aquarium water was changed with tap water daily during this period. Dead fish were removed from the aquarium regularly to avoid pollution in the test media.

Preparation of chemical

Benzophenone (Diphenylmethanon) CAS # 119-61-9, was sourced from Sigma-Aldrich, USA in 99% purity. It was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide to prepare a stock solution which was used to prepare the working solutions.

Acute toxicity

Control and treatment groups each having three replicates were established. Each replicate consisted of 10 fish and was subjected to increasing test concentrations of BP from 100 to 1000 µg/L for 96-hr in the treatment group while the control group did not contain the test solution. The concentrations used was found in agreement with previous studies investigating BP toxicity in fish (Lima et al. 2022; Riaz et al., 2025). Fish were not fed during the acute toxicity trial while half of the test media changed to ensure the constant BP concentrations. Physicochemical parameters were regularly checked and maintained: temperature 27-29 °C, DO 6-8 mgL⁻¹, pH 6.5-7, total hardness content 280 ppm during the trial.

Oxidative stress biomarkers

To investigate the oxidative stress, fish was exposed to various sub-lethal doses: 1/3rd, 1/5th, and 1/7th of 96-h LC₅₀ (Table 1) for 75 days. All the treatment groups were established in three replicates. Sampling was done after 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 days of chronic exposure (Table 2), to assess the superoxide dismutase (SOD), and catalase (CAT) enzyme activities in the liver, kidney, gills, muscles, and heart of fish. All the organs were homogenized separately for approximately 15 minutes using mortar and pestle. After the homogenization, the mixture was stained, separated, and centrifuged (10,000 revolutions per minute, at 4°C for 15 min.). 10% glycerol was added and transparent supernatant was selected for further analysis. CAT and SOD activities were analyzed by Weydert and Cullen (2010) method with slight modification.

Table 1: Testing of sub-lethal concentrations of BP during chronic toxicity exposure for *L. rohita*

Concentration µg/L ⁻¹	Treatments	Exposure doses
BP	1/3 rd of LC ₅₀	202.22
	1/5 th of LC ₅₀	121.33
	1/7 th of LC ₅₀	86.66

Table 2: Fish distribution in groups and its sampling for antioxidant enzyme analysis

Group	15 days	30 days	45 days	60 days	75 days	Fish each replicate	Total fish (Three replicates each group)
1	3	3	3	3	3	15	45
2	3	3	3	3	3	15	45
3	3	3	3	3	3	15	45
4	3	3	3	3	3	15	45

1=Control; 2=1/3rd of LC₅₀; 3=1/5th of LC₅₀; 4=1/7th of LC₅₀

Statistical analysis

Probit analysis was employed to determine 96-hour LC_{50} and lethal concentrations of BP through the method of Hamilton et al. (1977). Analysis of

RESULTS

Acute toxicity

Fish mortality was examined every 24-hr and dead fish removed immediately to avoid contamination in

variance (ANOVA) was used to determine statistical differences among variables and means were compared by Tukey's test. Data were analyzed by Statistix 8.1 and results were recorded in Mean $\pm$ S.E. test media. BP toxicity increased with its increasing concentration evidenced by rising fish mortality (Figure 1). The 96-hr LC_{50} and lethal concentration of BP were estimated as 606.67 μ g/L and 1033.25 μ g/L respectively at 95% confidence interval.





Fig. 1 Recorded mortality of *L. rohita* with increasing BP concentration during acute testing

Oxidative stress biomarkers

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity:

For estimation of oxidative stress biomarkers, fish were exposed to sub-lethal doses of BP mentioned in Table 1 for 75 days and sampling was done after 15 days intervals. Fish showed a significant increase in

SOD activity in the initial 15 days followed by a sudden decline at 30, 45, and 75 days relative to the control group shown in Figure 2. The decline in the SOD activity shows an increase in ROS generation in fish. Decline in SOD activity was observed in the following trend: liver>kidney>gills>heart>muscle







Fig 2. Effect of BP on SOD activity in organs of *L. rohita*. Values are means of three replications. (T1=1/3rd of LC₅₀; T2=1/5th of LC₅₀; T3=1/7th of LC₅₀)

Catalase (CAT) activity:

Sub-lethal exposure to BP caused significant variability in CAT activity in fish organs. CAT activity followed the same trend as SOD, rising for the first

15 days and then decreasing afterward (Figure 3). The highest CAT activity fluctuations were observed in the liver while the lowest in the heart.






Fig 3. Effect of BP on CAT activity in organs of *L. rohita*. Values are means of three replications (T1=1/3rd of LC₅₀; T2=1/5th of LC₅₀; T3=1/7th of LC₅₀)

Discussion

Aquatic contaminants disrupt the biological systems of exposed organisms and induce damage to both their structure and normal function (Johnson and Radhakrishnan, 2015). BP is one of those contaminants that pose threats to aquatic life due to its lipophilic nature and high accumulative potential (Kim and Choi, 2014). Determining tolerance and safety limits are important for evaluating contaminants' toxicity; acute toxicity testing has been proven crucial in this regard (Prentera et al., 2004). Our present study confirms the acute toxicity of BP for *L. rohita* as 606.67 µg/L. This result is consistent with the previous work done by Riaz et al. (2025), who determined 612 µg/L as LC₅₀ for the same test species and chemical. However, 96-hr LC₅₀ values of BP-based UV filter were determined as 3.89 mgL⁻¹ and 633.00 mgL⁻¹ for *Brachydanio rerio* (Du et al., 2017). Differences in toxicity may be due to different exposure conditions and the test species. It is noted that the UV light elevates the toxicity of BP filters as indicated by Zhang et al. (2021) who studied zebrafish embryos in the absence and presence of UV light. Results showed the high toxicity of BP under UV light as their LC₅₀ values decreased from 9.75 mgL⁻¹ (without UV) to 4.52 mgL⁻¹.

Antioxidants play a crucial role in assessing water pollution, and enzymatic activity can serve as biochemical indications of potential injury to fish (Aziz et al., 2020). BP filter is reported to enhance the formation of ROS in the body to generate oxidative stress. Antioxidants keep the ROS at a threshold limit to protect the body from its negative effects. The present study found significant alterations in antioxidant enzymes in *L. rohita*. Their activity was observed to significantly increase in the first fortnight, and then gradually decrease in the next weeks of BP exposure and induced various toxic effects on fish organs. It was estimated that *L. rohita* hepatic cells have the highest enzymatic action of any fish organ, with higher levels of CAT and SOD. The liver is thought to be more severely impacted by BP than other organs. SOD and CAT levels were

observed in the following trend: liver>kidney>gills>heart>muscle. Low SOD activity under high concentrations of contaminants can lead to more generation and accumulation of ROS in exposed organisms that cause cellular damage (Phull et al., 2018). Similarly, in another study, Abdel-Khalek (2015) found that disruption in CAT activity in different fish organs was attributed to its contact with toxicants and the free radical instability. These results are relevant to previously reported results by Liu et al. (2015) and Zhang et al. (2020) on *Carassius auratus* (goldfish) which demonstrated that BP-based UV filters, including BP-1, BP-2, BP-3, and BP-4, resulted in substantial alterations in the activities of antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, and CAT, as well as non-enzymatic antioxidants like glutathione (GSH). These studies indicated an initial increase in antioxidant activities at high tested concentrations, followed by a decline, suggesting an adaptive response to oxidative stress.

Conclusion

Our study investigated the acute toxicity of the BP UV filter and its sub-lethal impact on oxidative stress biomarkers and antioxidant defense in *L. rohita*. BP was found to disturb the functioning of antioxidant enzymes that act as a shield against excess ROS generation. After the exposure to sub-lethal BP doses, the CAT and SOD activity significantly altered and exhibited an organ-specific response. These results are in accordance with prior studies confirming BP toxicity in fish. Considering its prevalence in aquatic environments, it is important to limit the UV filters use and implement effective technologies to treat wastewater effluents.

References

1. Abdel-Khalek AA, 2015. Antioxidant responses and nuclear deformations in freshwater fish, *Oreochromis niloticus*, facing degraded environmental conditions. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 94:701-8.

2. Aziz S, S. Abdullah, K. Abbas and M.A. Zia 2020. Effects of engineered zinc oxide nanoparticles on freshwater fish, *Labeo rohita*: Characterization of ZnO nanoparticles, acute toxicity and oxidative stress. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 40(4): 479-483.
3. Blüthgen, N., S. Zucchi and K. Fent. 2012. Effects of the UV filter benzophenone-3 (oxybenzone) at low concentrations in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*. 263:184-194.
4. Brown, K.E., M.T. Kinter, T.D. Oberley, M.L. Freeman, H.F. Frierson, L.A. Ridnour, Y. Tao, L.W. Oberley and D.R. Spitz. 1998. Enhanced gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase expression and selective loss of Cu Zn superoxide dismutase in hepatic iron overload. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 24:545-555.
5. Campos, D., C. Gravato, C. Quintaneiro, O. Golovko, V. Zlabek, A.M. Soares and J.L. Pestana. 2017. Toxicity of organic UV-filters to the aquatic midge *Chironomus riparius*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 143:210-216.
6. Choudhary, M., U.K. Jetley, M.A. Khan, S. Zutshi and T. Fatma. 2007. Effect of heavy metal stress on proline, malondialdehyde, and superoxide dismutase activity in the cyanobacterium *Spirulina platensis*-S5. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 66:204-209.
7. Du, Y., W.Q. Wang, Z.T. Pei, F. Ahmad, R.R. Xu, Y.M. Zhang and L.W. Sun. 2017. Acute Toxicity and Ecological Risk Assessment of Benzophenone-3 (BP-3) and Benzophenone-4 (BP-4) in Ultraviolet (UV) Filters. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 14:1414-1429.
8. Ekubo, A. T., and J.F. Abowei. 2011. Aspects of Aquatic Pollution in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences*. 3:673-693.
9. FAO. 2018. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018 - Meeting the sustainable development goals. Rome. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
10. Gago-Ferrero, P., M.B. Alonso, C.P. Bertozzi, J. Marigo, L. Barbosa, M. Cremer, E.R. Secchi, A. Azevedo, J. Lailson-Brito-Jr, J.P.M. Torres, O. Malm, E. Eljarrat, M.S. Díaz-Cruz and D. Barceló. 2013. First Determination of UV Filters in Marine Mammals. Octocrylene Levels in Franciscana Dolphins. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 47:5619-5625.
11. Gao, L., T. Yuan and W.H. Wang 2015. Ecological risk assessment of organic UV filters in aquatic environment. *Journal of Environmental Health* 32:332-336.
12. Giokas, D.L., A. Salvador and A. Chisvert. 2007. UV filters: From sunscreens to human body and the environment. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*. 26:360-374.
13. Hamilton, M.A., R.C. Russo and R.V. Thurston. 1977. Trimmed Spearman-Kärber method for estimating median length concentration in toxicity bioassays. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 11:714-719.
14. Johnson, C. and M.V. Radhakrishnan. 2015. Estimation of acute toxicity of chromium to the freshwater catfish *Clarias batrachus* (Linn.). *International Journal of Research in Environmental Science* 1:30-37.
15. Kaiser, D., O. Wappelhorst, M. Oetken and J. Oehlmann. 2012. Occurrence of widely used organic UV filters in lake and river sediments. *Environment Chemistry* 9:139-147.
16. Kim, S. and K. Choi. 2014. Occurrences, toxicities, and ecological risks of benzophenone-3, a common component of organic sunscreen products: A mini-review. *Environment International*. 70:143-157.
17. Lima, J.D.O, R.L. Cunha, D.D and L. Gitirana, D.B. 2022. Effects of benzophenone-3 on the blood cells of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Journal of Environmental Science and Health*. 57:81-89.
18. Liu, H., P. Sun, H. Liu, S. Yang, L. Wang and Z. Wang. 2015. Hepatic oxidative stress biomarker responses in freshwater fish *Carassius auratus* exposed to four benzophenone UV filters. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 119:116-122.
19. Phull AR, Nasir B, Haq Iul, et al., 2018. Oxidative stress, consequences and ROS mediated cellular signaling in rheumatoid arthritis. *Chemical and Biological Interaction* 281:121-36.
20. Prentera, J., C. Macneil, J.T.A. Dick and G.E. Riddell. 2004. Lethal and sub-lethal toxicity of ammonia to native, invasive and parasitized freshwater amphipods. *Water Research*. 38:2847-2850.
21. Riaz, M., S. Abdullah, M. Jamil, A. Rasheed, U. Sheikh, M. Fatima, N. Umer, K. Aslam. 2025. Evaluation of toxic effects of benzophenone on histopathology of *Labeo rohita*. *Toxicology Reports*, 101914.
22. Sheikh, M., M.Y. Laghari, P.K. Lashari, A.R. Khooharo and N.T. Narejo. 2017. Current Status of Three Major Carps (*Labeo rohita*, *Cirrhinus mrigala* and *Catla catla*) In the Downstream Indus River, Sindh. *Fisheries and Aquaculture Journal* 8:2-5.
23. Vaahtera, L., M. Brosché, M. Wrzaczek and J. Kangasjärvi. 2014. Specificity in ROS signaling and transcript signatures. *Antioxidant and Redox Signal*. 21:1422-1441.
24. Velanganni, S., and S. Miltonprabu. 2021. Effects of benzophenone-3 at the environmentally relevant concentration on the liver of Zebrafish

- Danio rerio* (Hamilton). International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences. 2:640-646.
25. Velanganni, S., P. Sivakumar and S. Miltonprabu. 2021. Impact of environmentally relevant concentration of benzophenone-3 on antioxidant enzymes, oxidative stress markers and morphology of gills in *Danio rerio* (Hamilton). GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 14:189-196.
26. Walia, G.K., D. Handa, H. Kaur and R. Kalotra. 2015. Ecotoxicological studies on fish, *Labeo rohita* exposed to tannery industry effluent by using micronucleus test. Nucleus 58:111-116.
27. Weisbrod, C.J., P.Y. Kunz, A.K. Zenker and K. Fent. 2007. Effects of the UV filter benzophenone-2 on reproduction in fish. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 225:260-261.
28. Weydert CJ and Cullen JJ, 2010. Measurement of superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione peroxidase in cells and tissues. Nature Protocols 5:51-66.
29. Zhang, P., G. Lu, J. Liu, Z. Yan and Y. Wang. 2020. Toxicological responses of *Carassius auratus* induced by benzophenone-3 exposure and the association with alteration of gut microbiota. Science of the Total Environment. 747:141255-141269.
30. Zhang, Y., P. Shah, F. Wu, P. Liu, J. You and G. Goss. 2021. Potentiation of lethal and sub-lethal effects of benzophenone and oxybenzone by UV light in zebrafish embryos. Aquatic Toxicology. 235:105835-105845.