

Smartphone addiction and Smartphone use - Digital literacy among the students of Allied Health Sciences in Indore



Niketa Shobhit^{1*}, Dr. Sanjeev Attry², Dr. Archana Verma³, Dr. Ajeet Kumar Saharan⁴, Dr. Shivam Dixit⁵

^{1*}PhD Scholar, NIMS University, Jaipur,

²Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, National Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Jaipur,

³Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, M.G.M. Medical College, Indore,

⁴Principal, College of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy, Nims University, Jaipur,

⁵Assistant Professor (Statistics), Department of Community Medicine, M.G.M. Medical College, Indore

ABSTRACT

Background: Smartphone addiction is a lack of control of smartphone use with negative consequences and is considered a technological or behavioural addiction. Smartphone addiction has been positively correlated with mental distress, such as depression, anxiety, loneliness, stress and boredom in empirical studies and linked to poor sleep quality, impaired learning and acquisition and premature cognitive decline. Adverse physical effects have also been reported, such as dry eye, musculoskeletal pain, hypertension, body dysfunction and weakened immunity. These conditions are associated with a decrease in psychological well-being and reduced life satisfaction. Digital literacy combines both technical and cognitive abilities; it consists of using information and communication technologies to create, evaluate and share information. Smartphone is essential tool in today's life as well it has some hazardous effects so it is essential to know about the digital literacy of students to guide them for proper use of smartphone.

Method: The students studying in various allied health science courses were assessed for their smartphone addiction using Smartphone Addiction Scale – Short Version (SAS-SV) after their consent. The demographic data of 335 smartphone addicted participants was collected and they were assessed for their mobile usage type and duration. Data was entered in to Microsoft excel sheet and analysed using JAMOV software to describe the participants' demographic features and type of their mobile use

Results: Among 335 smartphone addicted participants 267 participants were female and 68 participants were male. The mean age for all participants was 22.3 years, 99 participants were aged less than 20 years, 199 participants aged 21-25 years and 37 participants aged more than 25 years. The mean height for all participants was 159.99 cm, the mean body weight for all the participants was 53.44kg and mean BMI for all participants was 20.93. The total mean time of smartphone usage in smartphone addicted students is 431.75 minutes and they use smartphone for 133.42 min for educational activities, 95.73 min for entertainment activities, 20.85 min for gaming activities, 96.82 min for social media activities, 30.58 min for aimless surfing and 54.34 min for music listening. Total mobile use per day did not significantly differ between genders ($p = 0.825$), patterns of use varied. Females spent significantly more time on educational activities (141.95 ± 104.08 min) than males (99.93 ± 62.45 min, $p = 0.002$). Conversely, males spent significantly more time on gaming (36.03 ± 54.14 min) than females (16.99 ± 32.83 min), with a highly significant p -value of 0.001. No statistically significant gender differences were observed in entertainment, social media, aimless surfing, or music listening. Total daily usage time increased slightly with age but was not statistically significant ($p = 0.240$). Older participants (>25 years) investing more time (153.11 ± 135.24 min) than younger participants (<20 years: 117.32 ± 82.16 min) for educational activities, although this difference also lacked statistical significance ($p = 0.222$). No significant differences were observed across age groups for entertainment, gaming, social media, aimless surfing, or music listening.

Conclusions: Smartphone addicted students spend more time on educational activities with decreasing order of smartphone usage in social media activities, entertainment activities, listening to music, aimless surfing and least time on gaming activities. The difference in total time spent for smartphone usage by males and females is statistically not significant but the pattern of smartphone use varies in both the gender. The decreasing pattern of smartphone usage in male is entertainment activities, social media activities, educational activities, listening to music, gaming activities and aimless surfing and in female the pattern is educational activities, social media activities, entertainment activities, listening to music, aimless surfing and gaming activities. It has been found that the students are aware about digital literacy; they spend more time on educational activities than others. Students can be made aware about the usage of smartphone and their interest and enthusiasm for smartphone usage can be diverted towards more educational activities thus their digital literacy regarding the smartphone usage can be enhanced.

Key words: Smartphone addiction, SAS-SV, Smartphone use, Digital literacy.

INTRODUCTION

Smartphones have changed daily activities and behaviours dramatically. Various applications allow communication with others, e-mail access, enjoyment of music, videos, films, game playing and schedule management. Smartphones are beneficial for expanding horizons, promoting safety, alleviating stress, maintaining relationships and finding useful information¹⁻³ which has contributed to their indispensability⁴. However, the improper smartphone use leads towards unintentional time-wasting and immoderate use carries the risk of smartphone addiction with an impact on physical and mental health.⁵

Smartphone addiction is a lack of control of smartphone use with negative consequences⁵ and is considered a technological or behavioural addiction. Smartphone addicts may display the six core performances of addiction: salience, mood modification, tolerance, withdrawal, conflict and relapse^{1,6}. Smartphone addiction has been positively correlated with mental distress, such as depression, anxiety, loneliness, stress and boredom in empirical studies⁷⁻¹⁰ and linked to poor sleep quality, impaired learning and acquisition and premature cognitive decline¹¹. Adverse physical effects have also been reported, such as dry eye¹², musculoskeletal pain¹³, hypertension¹⁴, body dysfunction and weakened immunity¹⁵. These conditions are associated with a decrease in psychological well-being¹⁶ and reduced life satisfaction¹⁷.

The ability to read and write is the concept of being literate. Paul Gliter first coined the term digital literacy in 1997 and UNESCO defines digital literacy as the ability to access, manage, understand, integrate, communicate, evaluate and create information safely and appropriately through digital technologies which includes smartphones, tablets, laptops and desktops for employment, decent jobs and entrepreneurship. Digital literacy combines both technical and cognitive abilities; it consists of using information and communication technologies to create, evaluate, and share information.¹⁸ Smartphone is essential tool in today's life as well it has some hazardous effects so it is essential to know about the digital literacy of students to guide them for proper use of smartphone.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this research is to gain insight into the type of smartphone usage among the students of allied health sciences to investigate their digital literacy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This is a community based cross sectional descriptive study

Study area and population

This study has been conducted at the MGM Allied Health Sciences Institute, MGM Medical College, Indore. The population included are the students of various allied health sciences courses.

Sample size

The students studying in various allied health science courses were assessed for their smartphone addiction using Smartphone Addiction Scale – Short Version (SAS-SV) selected after their consent. The prevalence of smartphone addiction is 68.1%¹⁹. Sample size is decided using formula
$$\text{Sample Size} = \frac{Z^2 * p * q}{d^2}$$

Z = Confidence interval at 95%, standard value is 1.96

p = prevalence = 61.4%

q = 1-p

d = margin of error = 5%

335 smartphone addicted participants were selected.

Data Collection

The students studying in various allied health science courses were assessed for their smartphone addiction using Smartphone Addiction Scale – Short Version (SAS-SV) after their consent. Smartphone Addiction Scale short version (SAS-SV) has been widely used. SAS-SV is considered simple, easy and efficient¹⁹ with good reliability and validity in different cultural contexts. It has been translated into English²⁰, Turkish²¹, Chinese²², Italian²³, Iranian²⁴, Moroccan²⁵, Brazilian²⁶, Spanish and French²⁷. An interesting outcome of SAS-SV-based research is the gender difference in smartphone addiction severity. Cut off value for a boy is 31 and a girl is 33. The score above cut off value is considered as smartphone addiction. The internal consistency and concurrent validity of SAS-SV was verified (Cronbach's alpha=0.967). The demographic data of 335 smartphone addicted participants was collected and they were assessed for their mobile usage type and duration.

Data Analysis

Data was entered in to Microsoft excel sheet and analyzed using JAMOV software. Continuous data was expressed in terms of mean and SD. Categorical data was expressed in form of proportions and percentage. The result was obtained using various descriptive and analytical statistics. The descriptive analysis aimed to describe the participants' demographic features and type of their mobile use.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by Committee for advance studies and research, Nims University, Jaipur and Ethics and scientific committee, MGM Medical College & M Y Hospital, Indore.

RESULTS

Among 335 smartphone addicted participants 267 participants were female and 68 participants were male. The mean age for all participants was 22.3 years, 99 participants were aged less than 20 years, 199 participants aged 21-25 years and 37

participants aged more than 25 years. The mean height for all participants was 159.99 cm, the mean body weight for all the participants was 53.44kg and mean BMI for all participants was 20.93. The total mean time of smartphone usage in smartphone addicted students is 431.75 minutes and they use smartphone for 133.42 min for educational activities, 95.73 min for entertainment activities, 20.85 min for gaming activities, 96.82 min for social media activities, 30.58 min for aimless surfing and 54.34 min for music listening.

Table 1: Gender distribution of participants

Gender	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Male	68	20.3
Female	267	79.7
Total	335	100

Figure 1: Gender distribution of participants




Table 2: Age wise distribution of participants

Age	Frequency	Percentage (%)
< 20 years	99	29.6
21-25 years	199	59.4
>25 years	37	11
Total	335	100

Figure 2: Age wise distribution of participants




Table 3: Demographic details of participants

Particulars	Mean $\pm$ Sd
Age(years)	22.19 $\pm$ 2.604
Height(cm)	159.99 $\pm$ 10.25
Weight(kg)	53.449 $\pm$ 10.53
BMI	20.932 $\pm$ 3.91
Mobile uses per day (min)	431.75 $\pm$ 237.53
Educational activities (min)	133.42 $\pm$ 98.470
Entertainment activities (min)	95.73 $\pm$ 75.66
Gaming activities (min)	20.85 $\pm$ 38.80
Social media activities (min)	96.82 $\pm$ 77.23
Aimless surfing (min)	30.58 $\pm$ 47.53
Listening to music	54.34 $\pm$ 58.85

Figure 3: Type of smartphone use in participants




Table 4: Details of mobile use in smartphone addicted adults as per gender

Particulars	Gender		P - value
	Male	Female	
Height(cm)	170.84 ± 7.91	157.20 ± 8.85	0.0001
Weight(kg)	62.90 ± 10.67	51.04 ± 9.06	0.0001
BMI	21.57 ± 3.46	20.76 ± 4.009	0.043
Mobile uses per day (min)	442.06 ± 267.78	429.12 ± 229.67	0.825
Educational activities (min)	99.93 ± 62.45	141.95 ± 104.081	0.002
Entertainment activities (min)	112.13 ± 100.59	91.55 ± 67.47	0.333
Gaming activities (min)	36.03 ± 54.14	16.99 ± 32.83	0.001
Social media activities (min)	108.46 ± 85.27	93.86 ± 74.93	0.305
Aimless surfing (min)	25.81 ± 38.006	31.80 ± 49.66	0.616
Listening to music (min)	59.71 ± 59.75	52.98 ± 58.66	0.327

Table 4 presents a gender-wise comparison of various parameters related to smartphone use and associated musculoskeletal complaints among smartphone-addicted students. Statistically significant gender differences were observed in several variables.

Males had significantly greater height (170.84 ± 7.91 cm) and weight (62.90 ± 10.67 kg) compared to females (157.20 ± 8.85 cm and 51.04 ± 9.06 kg, respectively), with p-values of <0.0001. Though both genders fell within a similar BMI range, females exhibited a slightly lower BMI (20.76 ± 4.009) compared to males (21.57 ± 3.46), and this difference was statistically significant (p = 0.043).

Interestingly, while total mobile use per day did not significantly differ between genders (p = 0.825), patterns of use varied. Females spent significantly more time on educational activities (141.95 ± 104.08 min) than males (99.93 ± 62.45 min, p = 0.002), suggesting a more purpose-driven usage pattern among female students. Conversely, males spent significantly more time on gaming (36.03 ± 54.14 min) than females (16.99 ± 32.83 min), with a highly significant p-value of 0.001. No statistically significant gender differences were observed in entertainment, social media, aimless surfing, or music listening.

Figure 4: Details of mobile use in smartphone addicted adults as per gender




Table 5: Details of mobile use in smartphone addicted adults as per age

Particulars	Age			P - value
	<20 years	21-25 years	>20 year	
Height(cm)	159.33 ± 9.13	159.87 ± 10.66	162.38 ± 10.812	0.242
Weight(kg)	50.181 ± 9.01	53.812 ± 10.27	60.243 ± 12.27	0.0001
BMI	19.735 ± 2.92	21.199 ± 4.288	22.710 ± 3.17	0.0001
Mobile uses per day (min)	396.36 ± 208.45	445.53 ± 238.93	452.30 ± 293.73	0.240
Educational activities (min)	117.32 ± 82.16	137.76 ± 97.305	153.11 ± 135.24	0.222


Entertainment activities (min)	88.54 ± 77.263	98.19 ± 75.917	101.76 ± 70.36	0.430
Gaming activities (min)	16.46 ± 35.97	23.57 ± 40.278	17.97 ± 37.702	0.413
Social media activities (min)	96.01 ± 73.83	100.13 ± 81.407	81.22 ± 61.20	0.498
Aimless surfing (min)	29.19 ± 46.98	30.63 ± 47.755	34.05 ± 48.95	0.717
Listening to music (min)	48.84 ± 48.20	55.25 ± 60.509	64.19 ± 74.082	0.791

Table 5 stratifies smartphone-addicted students into three age groups: <20 years, 21–25 years, and >25 years, evaluating differences in smartphone use patterns and physical health parameters.

A significant trend was observed with age in terms of body weight and BMI. Older participants (>25 years) demonstrated significantly higher weight (60.24 ± 12.27 kg) and BMI (22.71 ± 3.17) compared to younger participants (<20 years: 50.18 ± 9.01 kg and BMI 19.73 ± 2.92), with both parameters showing p-values <0.0001. This pattern likely reflects age-related physiological changes and possibly decreased physical activity in older students.

Regarding smartphone usage patterns, total daily usage time increased slightly with age but was not statistically significant ($p = 0.240$). A similar trend was noted for time spent on educational activities, with older participants (>25 years) investing more time (153.11 ± 135.24 min) than younger participants (<20 years: 117.32 ± 82.16 min), although this difference also lacked statistical significance ($p = 0.222$). No significant differences were observed across age groups for entertainment, gaming, social media, aimless surfing, or music listening.

Figure 5: Details of mobile use in smartphone addicted adults as per age



Discussion

Smartphone usage is increasing globally. Rapid advancement of technology is not alarming but overuse and misuse of the gadget is alarming. A research done by Nokia reveals that an average person checks the phone every 6.30 minutes in a 16 hour waking cycle.¹⁷ The prevalence rate of smart phone addiction among the school students were found to be 33%. The percentage of smart phone addiction among adolescents were 30.9% in Korea, 10 percent in England, 21 percent in the Philippines, and 18 percent in Hong Kong²⁸. The discrepancies in the prevalence rate may also be due to different classification methods used in different studies and also difference among the participants and different geographical areas in the studies. The analysis of demographic data shows that the mean BMI for smartphone addicted students is 33.83 which is higher than the normal BMI range 18.5 to 24.9, it is

because of more use of smartphone has reduced the physical activities. The total time spent by smartphone addicted adults on their smartphone is more than 7 hours among which they use smartphone for educational activity and study is more than two hours which is the positive use of smartphone for the development of career, more than one and half hour they spent for entertainment activities which can be reduced and diverted towards positive use of technology, 20 min they spend for gaming activities and 30 min they spend for aimless surfing which can be diverted for another activities, more than one and half hour they spent on social media activities like whatsapp, facebook, instagram and other app which if use for communication is good or if it is use for just entertainment it need to be reduced, they also spend 54 min for listening to music

Conclusion

The findings from this study provide valuable insight into the digital literacy and smartphone use among smartphone addicted students of allied health sciences in Indore. The smartphone addicted students have maximum use their smartphone for educational activities which plays important role in their learning, it helps students to develop knowledge and skills, make high contributions and develop careers. The aggregate time spent by smartphone addicted students for entertainment, gaming, social media and aimless surfing activities is more than educational activities. Based on the results drawn from this study students can be made aware about the usage of smartphone and their interest and enthusiasm for smartphone usage can be diverted towards more educational activities thus their digital literacy regarding the smartphone usage can be enhanced and they should restrict screen time for other activities to reduce hazardous effects of smartphone addiction.

REFERENCE

1. Panova T, Carbonell X. Is smartphone addiction really an addiction? *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*. 2018;7(2):252–9. doi: 10.1556/2006.7.2018.49 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
2. Elhai JD, Dvorak RD, Levine JC, Hall BJ. Problematic smartphone use: A conceptual overview and systematic review of relations with anxiety and depression psychopathology. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2017; 207:251–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.08.030 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
3. Hong W, Liu R-D, Oei T-P, Zhen R, Jiang S, Sheng X. The mediating and moderating roles of social anxiety and relatedness need satisfaction on the relationship between shyness and problematic mobile phone use among adolescents. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2019;93:301–8. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2018.12.020 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
4. Hartanto A, Yang H. Is the smartphone a smart choice? The effect of smartphone separation on executive functions. *Computers in human behavior*. 2016;64:329–36. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.07.002 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
5. Yue H, Yue X, Liu B, Li X, Dong Y, Bao H. Short version of the smartphone addiction scale: Measurement invariance across gender. *PLoS One*. 2023 Mar 22;18(3):e0283256. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0283256. PMID: 36947534; PMCID: PMC10032492.
6. Sunday OJ, Adesope OO, Maarhuis PL. The effects of smartphone addiction on learning: A meta-analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*. 2021;4:100114. doi: 10.1016/j.chbr.2021.100114 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
7. Griffiths M. A 'components' model of addiction within a biopsychosocial framework. *Journal of Substance Use*. 2005;10(4):191–7. doi: 10.1080/14659890500114359 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
8. Lapierre MA, Zhao P, Custer BE. Short-Term Longitudinal Relationships Between Smartphone Use/Dependency and Psychological Well-Being Among Late Adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2019;65(5):607–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.06.001 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
9. Zhang Y, Li S, Yu G. The longitudinal relationship between boredom proneness and mobile phone addiction: Evidence from a cross-lagged model. *Current Psychology*. 2021:1–8. doi: 10.1007/s12144-021-01997-w [DOI] [Google Scholar]
10. Karsay K, Schmuck D, Matthes J, Stevic A. Longitudinal effects of excessive smartphone use on stress and loneliness: the moderating role of self-disclosure. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*. 2019;22(11):706–13. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2019.0255 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
11. Geng Y, Gu J, Wang J, Zhang R. Smartphone addiction and depression, anxiety: The role of bedtime procrastination and self-control. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2021;293:415–21. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.06.062 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
12. Neophytou E, Manwell LA, Eikelboom R. Effects of Excessive Screen Time on Neurodevelopment, Learning, Memory, Mental Health, and Neurodegeneration: a Scoping Review. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2019:1–21. doi: 10.1007/s11469-019-00182-2 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
13. Inomata T, Iwagami M, Nakamura M, Shiang T, Yoshimura Y, Fujimoto K, et al. Characteristics and risk factors associated with diagnosed and undiagnosed symptomatic dry eye using a smartphone application. *JAMA ophthalmology*. 2020;138(1):58–68. doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2019.4815 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
14. Yang G, Cao J, Li Y, Cheng P, Liu B, Hao Z, et al. Association between Internet Addiction and the Risk of Musculoskeletal Pain among Chinese College Freshmen—A Cross-Sectional Study. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2019;10:1959.

- doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01959 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
15. Zou Y, Xia N, Zou Y, Chen Z, Wen Y. Smartphone addiction may be associated with adolescent hypertension: a cross-sectional study among junior school students in China. *BMC pediatrics*. 2019;19(1):310. doi: 10.1186/s12887-019-1699-9 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
 16. Xie X, Dong Y, Wang J. Sleep quality as a mediator of problematic smartphone use and clinical health symptoms. *Journal of behavioral addictions*. 2018;7(2):466–72. doi: 10.1556/2006.7.2018.40 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
 17. Yang Z, Asbury K, Griffiths MD. An exploration of problematic smartphone use among Chinese university students: Associations with academic anxiety, academic procrastination, self-regulation and subjective wellbeing. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2019;17(3):596–614. doi: 10.1007/s11469-018-9961-1 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
 18. Song S-M, Park B, Kim J-E, Kim JE, Park N-S. Examining the Relationship between Life Satisfaction, Smartphone Addiction, and Maternal Parenting Behavior: A South Korean Example of Mothers with Infants. *Child Indicators Research*. 2019;12(4):1221–41. doi: 10.1007/s12187-018-9581-0 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
 19. Ratan, Z.A.; Parrish, A.-M.; Alotaibi, M.S.; Hosseinzadeh, H. Prevalence of Smartphone Addiction and Its Association with Sociodemographic, Physical and Mental Well-Being: A Cross-Sectional Study among the Young Adults of Bangladesh. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 16583. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192416583>
 20. Kwon M, Kim D-J, Cho H, Yang S. The smartphone addiction scale: development and validation of a short version for adolescents. *PloS one*. 2013;8(12):e83558. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083558 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
 21. Demirci K, Orhan H, Demirdas A, Akpinar A, Sert H. Validity and reliability of the Turkish Version of the Smartphone Addiction Scale in a younger population. *Klinik Psikofarmakoloji Bülteni-Bulletin of Clinical Psychopharmacology*. 2014;24(3):226–34. doi: 10.5455/bcp.20140710040824 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
 22. Luk TT, Wang MP, Shen C, Wan A, Chau PH, Oliffe J, et al. Short version of the Smartphone Addiction Scale in Chinese adults: Psychometric properties, sociodemographic, and health behavioral correlates. *Journal of behavioral addictions*. 2018;7(4):1157–65. doi: 10.1556/2006.7.2018.105 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
 23. De Pasquale C, Sciacca F, Hichy Z. Italian validation of smartphone addiction scale short version for adolescents and young adults (SAS-SV). *Psychology*. 2017;8(10):1513–8. doi: 10.4236/psych.2017.810100 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
 24. Esmaeilpour F, Letafatkar A, Baker JS, Dutheil F, Khazaei O, Rabiei P, et al. Reliability and construct validity of the smartphone addiction scale short version (SAS-SV) in Iranian students. *Journal of Public Health*. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s10389-021-01529-7 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
 25. Sfondla A, Laita M, Nejjar B, Souirti Z, Touhami AAO, Senhaji M. Reliability of the Arabic smartphone addiction scale and smartphone addiction scale-short version in two different Moroccan samples. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, Social Networking*. 2018;21(5):325–32. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2017.0411 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
 26. Andrade ALM, Kim D-J, Caricati VV, Martins GDG, Kirihaara IK, Barbugli BC, et al. Validity and reliability of the Brazilian version of the Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version for university students and adult population. *Estudos de Psicologia*. 2020;37. doi: 10.1590/1982-0275202037e190117 [DOI] [Google Scholar]
 27. Lopez-Fernandez O. Short version of the Smartphone Addiction Scale adapted to Spanish and French: Towards a cross-cultural research in problematic mobile phone use. *Addictive behaviors*. 2017;64:275–80. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2015.11.013 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
 28. Cha SS, Seo BK. Smartphone use and smartphone addiction in middle school students in Korea: Prevalence, social networking service, and game use. *Health Psychol Open*. 2018 Feb 2;5(1):2055102918755046. doi: 10.1177/2055102918755046. PMID: 29435355; PMCID: PMC5802650.