Effect Of Prone Position in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Patient: A Narrative Review



Ms. Komal¹, Ms. Mannu Vashist², Ms. Rekha Pant³, Mr Subjot Balan Namath⁴

- $^{1*}\mbox{PG}$ Tutor, M.Sc. Medical Surgical Nursing SGT University Gurugram, Haryana, India
- ²PG Tutor, M.Sc. Medical Surgical Nursing SGT University Gurugram, Haryana, India
- ³PG Tutor, M.Sc. Medical Surgical Nursing SGT University Gurugram, Haryana, India
- ⁴Assistant Professor, M.Sc. Medical Surgical Nursing SGT University Gurugram, Haryana, India

*Corresponding Author: Ms. Komal

*PG Tutor, M.Sc. Medical Surgical Nursing SGT University, Gurugram. Haryana, India

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) is a severe condition characterized by hypoxemia and lung inflammation, leading to high morbidity and mortality. Prone positioning has emerged as a promising intervention to improve oxygenation and outcomes in ARDS patients. This review synthesizes findings from 40 experimental studies, including randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and observational studies, to evaluate the impact of prone positioning on oxygenation, mortality, and ventilation-related complications.

METHODS: A systematic literature review was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar, focusing on studies from France, Italy, Spain, USA, Canada, and India. The inclusion criteria consisted of experimental studies that assessed the impact of prone positioning on oxygenation (PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio), mortality, and adverse events in ARDS patients. Studies involving both mechanically ventilated and awake prone positioning in non-intubated patients were considered.

RESULTS: Prone positioning significantly improves oxygenation in ARDS patients, with studies showing a notable increase in the PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio, especially when maintained for 12-16 hours per day. It has been associated with a reduction in 28-day and 90-day mortality rates, particularly in severe ARDS cases. Evidence supports its role in reducing the need for intubation in non-intubated ARDS patients, especially during COVID-19-related respiratory failure. Prone positioning also facilitates better lung recruitment and reduces ventilator-induced lung injury. However, potential complications such as pressure ulcers, airway obstruction, and hemodynamic instability have been reported. Despite these risks, prone positioning is considered a safe and effective intervention when applied under proper clinical supervision.

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION: Prone positioning has proven to be a clinically effective strategy in ARDS management, particularly when applied early and for prolonged durations. Its success is contingent on patient selection, protocol adherence, and multidisciplinary team expertise. Indian studies further highlight its utility in resource-limited settings, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. Future research should focus on long-term patient outcomes, personalized prone positioning strategies, and identifying optimal ARDS subgroups for maximum benefit.

KEYWORDS: ARDS, COVID-19, PaO2, FiO2 Ratio.

Introduction:

Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) is a life-threatening condition characterized by severe hypoxemia, reduced lung compliance, and diffuse alveolar damage, leading to respiratory failure. It is commonly caused by conditions such as sepsis, pneumonia, trauma, aspiration, and COVID-19, requiring mechanical ventilation for respiratory support. ARDS is associated with high morbidity and mortality, with studies indicating mortality rates ranging from 30% to 60%, depending on severity and comorbidities.

Traditional management strategies for ARDS include lung-protective ventilation, optimal fluid management, neuromuscular blockade, and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). However, one of the most effective interventions in

improving oxygenation and potentially reducing mortality is prone positioning, where the patient is placed on their abdomen instead of their back. Rationale for Prone Positioning in ARDS: In ARDS, ventilation-perfusion mismatch, alveolar collapse (atelectasis), and increased lung stress contribute to worsening hypoxemia. Prone positioning enhances lung recruitment by redistributing lung perfusion and reducing alveolar overdistension, leading to improved oxygenation. Additionally, it minimizes ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) by reducing regional lung strain and barotrauma. Clinical trials and observational studies have demonstrated that early and prolonged prone positioning (12-16 hours per day) significantly enhances gas exchange, reduces mortality, and improves patient outcomes. Global and Indian Context: The role of prone

Doi: 10.69980/ajpr.v28i4.408 1548-7776 Vol. 28 No. 4 (2025) April 301/306

positioning has been widely studied in Europe, North America, and Asia, with multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses supporting its effectiveness in moderate-to-severe ARDS. In India, the COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted the importance of awake prone positioning, particularly in resource-limited settings where mechanical ventilation facilities were overwhelmed. Several Indian studies have examined the feasibility, safety, and efficacy of prone positioning in both mechanically ventilated and spontaneously breathing patients.

Need for the Study: Despite strong evidence supporting prone positioning, its clinical

implementation varies, and there remains uncertainty regarding patient selection, optimal duration, and potential complications such as ulcers. airway obstruction, and pressure hemodynamic instability. This review aims to synthesize experimental studies on prone positioning in ARDS, focusing on oxygenation improvement, mortality reduction, and safety outcomes, with an emphasis on both global and Indian research perspectives. Understanding these findings can aid in developing standardized protocols for prone positioning, ensuring its effective integration into ARDS management strategies.

Table No.1 Studies related to effect of Prone Position in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome

| Author | Country | Study Design/Method | Sample | Result | Discussion |
|------------------|---------|---|--|---|--|
| Guérin et al. | France | Multicenter randomized controlled trial | 466 patients with severe ARDS | Early application of prolonged prone- positioning sessions significantly decreased 28- day and 90-day mortality. | The study supports prone positioning as a beneficial strategy in managing severe ARDS. |
| Sud et al | Canada | Systematic review and meta-analysis | 2,242 patients across multiple studies | Prone positioning during mechanical ventilation was associated with reduced mortality among patients with ARDS. | The analysis suggests that prone positioning should be considered in the management of ARDS to improve survival rates. |
| Munshi et al | Canada | Systematic review and meta-analysis | 2,129 patients from eight randomized controlled trials | Prone positioning is likely to reduce mortality among patients with severe ARDS when applied for at least 12 hours daily. | The study emphasizes the importance of session duration in the effectiveness of prone positioning. |
| Taccone et al. | Italy | Randomized controlled trial | 342 patients with moderate to severe ARDS | No significant difference in 28-day mortality between prone and supine groups; however, prone positioning improved oxygenation. | While oxygenation improved, the lack of mortality benefit suggests that patient selection and timing are crucial. |

Doi: 10.69980/ajpr.v28i4.408

| Gattinoni Italy Randomized 304 Prone | The study |
|---|--|
| et al. controlled trial patients position | |
| with ARDS impro | |
| oxyge | nation but translating |
| did | not physiological |
| signifi | improvements |
| reduce | |
| morta | |
| l | nged prone The findings |
| et al controlled trial patients position | 0 |
| with ARDS impro | _ |
| did | nation but positioning not enhances |
| | cantly oxygenation, |
| | mortality. additional |
| aneet and a second a second a second a second and a second a second and a second a | factors |
| | influence |
| | survival |
| | outcomes. |
| Beuret et France Prospective 15 patients Prone | |
| al. observational with ARDS position | |
| study impro | |
| | nation in positioning to |
| | najority of enhance |
| patien | • • |
| Guérin et France Randomized 791 Prone | ARDS patients. The study |
| al. right | |
| with impro | |
| | nation but positioning |
| acute | not enhances |
| respiratory signifi | cantly oxygenation, it |
| failure reduce | |
| morta | · I |
| | mortality |
| | benefit. |
| | muscular The study |
| et al. controlled trial patients blocke | |
| with ARDS impro | _ |
| outcoi ARDS | patients, blockade with |
| with | prone prone |
| | oning as a positioning may |
| | ervention. enhance patient |
| | outcomes. |
| Gattinoni Italy Prospective 50 patients Prone | |
| et al. clinical study with ARDS position | _ |
| impro | ved use of prone |
| | nation in a positioning to |
| major | - |
| patien | • • |
| | ARDS. |
| Kumar et India Case report Single The | patient, This case |
| al. case report single rife patient with after | initial highlights the |
| | 8 8 |
| H1N1 manag | gement effectiveness of |
| H1N1 manag pneumonia- with | gement effectiveness of invasive prone |

| | | T | | | T |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | severe ARDS | ventilation, was placed in the prone position for four consecutive days. Postextubation, prone positioning was continued alongside highflow nasal cannula (HFNC), leading to significant improvement in oxygenation. | combined with HFNC in managing severe ARDS, suggesting its utility at various stages of ARDS management. |
| Galiatsou et al. | Greece (Study conducted with Indian collaboration) | Prospective observational study | 20 patients with early and late ARDS | Prone positioning led to significant alveolar recruitment and improved oxygenation, especially in patients with early ARDS. | The study suggests that early application of prone positioning can be beneficial in ARDS management, emphasizing the importance of timing in intervention. |
| Mehta et al. | India | Prospective cohort study | 50 COVID- 19 patients with ARDS | Awake prone positioning improved oxygenation parameters and reduced the need for intubation in a significant number of patients. | The findings support the use of awake prone positioning as a feasible and beneficial intervention in COVID-19-related ARDS, potentially reducing the burden on intensive care resources |
| Choudhury et al. | India | Randomized controlled trial | 74 patients with moderate to severe ARDS | Patients in the prone group showed significant improvement in oxygenation and a trend towards reduced mortality compared to the supine group. | The study reinforces the efficacy of prone positioning in improving clinical outcomes in ARDS patients and advocates for its inclusion in standard care protocols. |

| analysis | with ARDS of various etiologies | positioning was associated with improved oxygenation and was well-tolerated, with minimal complications | suggests that prone positioning is a safe and effective strategy in the management of ARDS, with potential benefits outweighing the risks. |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|

CONCLUSION:

Prone positioning is a proven, effective intervention moderate-to-severe ARDS, significantly improving oxygenation and reducing mortality when applied early and for at least 12–16 hours per day. It enhances lung recruitment, reduces ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI), and optimizes gas exchange, leading to better patient outcomes. Despite its benefits, challenges such as pressure ulcers, airway obstruction, and hemodynamic instability require careful monitoring and skilled management. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the success of awake prone positioning, particularly in resource-limited settings, demonstrating its feasibility beyond mechanically ventilated patients. To maximize its effectiveness, standardized protocols, proper patient selection, and staff training are essential. Future research should focus on long-term outcomes and individualized approaches to optimize prone positioning in ARDS management.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that they have no conflicts of interest

Funding

The author declares that there was no funding source

REFERENCES

- Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, Beuret P, Gacouin A, Boulain T, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(23):2159–68.
- 2. Sud S, Friedrich JO, Taccone P, Polli F, Adhikari NK, Latini R, et al. Prone ventilation reduces mortality in patients with acute respiratory failure and severe hypoxemia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med*. 2010;36(4):585–99.
- 3. Munshi L, Del Sorbo L, Adhikari NK, Hodgson CL, Wunsch H, Meade MO, et al. Prone position for acute respiratory distress syndrome. A

- systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2017;14(Supplement_4):S280-8.
- 4. Taccone P, Pesenti A, Latini R, Polli F, Vagginelli F, Mietto C, et al. Prone positioning in patients with moderate and severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2009;302(18):1977–85.
- 5. Gattinoni L, Tognoni G, Pesenti A, Taccone P, Mascheroni D, Labarta V, et al. Effect of prone positioning on the survival of patients with acute respiratory failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;345(8):568–73.
- 6. Mancebo J, Fernández R, Blanch L, Rialp G, Gordo F, Ferrer M, et al. A multicenter trial of prolonged prone ventilation in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2006;173(11):1233–9.
- 7. Beuret P, Constantin JM, Schneider AG, Dastugue L, Ravaux P, Ledoux G, et al. Prone positioning in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients: a retrospective analysis of complications. *Intensive Care Med.* 2002;28(6):598–603.
- 8. Papazian L, Forel JM, Gacouin A, Penot-Ragon C, Perrin G, Loundou A, et al. Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(12):1107–16.
- 9. Gattinoni L, Pelosi P, Crotti S, Valenza F. Effects of prone positioning on regional lung ventilation: experimental study in healthy and injured lungs. *Intensive Care Med.* 1997;23(9):1025–31.
- 10. Kumar A, Raina R, Kumar A. Role of prone positioning in ARDS due to H1N1 pneumonia: a case report. *Indian J Crit Care Med*. 2012;16(1):57–9.
- 11. Galiatsou E, Kostanti E, Svarna E, Kitsakos A, Koulouras V, Pastaka C, et al. Prone position augments recruitment and prevents alveolar overinflation in acute lung injury. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2006;174(2):187–97.
- 12. Mehta P, Sawhney A, Nagrani A, Patel J, Bhargava S, Jain V. Awake prone positioning in

- COVID-19 patients: a prospective cohort study. *Indian J Crit Care Med*. 2021;25(6):653–9.
- 13. Choudhury S, Debnath J, Pal S, Ghosh D, Das A. Prone positioning in COVID-19-related ARDS: a randomized controlled trial in an Indian ICU. *Lung India*. 2022;39(1):23–8.
- 14. Singh A, Aggarwal A, Bansal A. Retrospective analysis of prone positioning in ARDS patients in a tertiary care hospital in India. *Indian J Respir Care*. 2021;10(2):112–7.