American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation

Expert Opinion Article

The Role of Primary Care in Improving Type 2 Diabetes Management: A Family Medicine Approach

Moamen Abdelfadil Ismail[1]*, Nouf Abdulrahman Alqahtani[2], Alhanouf Saad Almarshad[3], Reham Abdulaziz Altaifi[4], Makki Abdulrahim Sindi[5], Ali Hussain Alkhalifah[6], Hoor Khalid Ghandorah[7], Shaden Abdu Hassan Thurwi[8], Shahad Khalid Almarwani[9], Ghadi Sultan Alhazmi[10], Turki Dhafer Alshehri[11], Layan Obaid Alotaibi[12]

[1]*Lecturer of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Helwan University, Internal Medicine consultant, King Abdulaziz specialist hospital - Sakaka – Aljouf
[2]Senior Registrar Family Medicine, Aseer Health cluster
[3]Family Medicine
[4]Family Medicine
[5]Family Medicine
[6]Family Medicine
[7]Family Medicine
[8]General Doctor -Family Medicine Department, Ministry of Health – Jazan Health Cluster
[9]Family Medicine
[10]Family Medicine, UNI: Ibn Sina National College
[11]General Doctor in Family Medicine Department, Almanhal Primary Health Care
[12]Major: Medical Student – Medicine and Surgery, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University

***Corresponding Author:** Moamen Abdelfadil Ismail
*Lecturer of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Helwan University, Internal Medicine consultant, King Abdulaziz specialist hospital - Sakaka – Aljouf

Abstract

Background: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a global public health challenge, with rising prevalence and significant economic and social burdens. Primary care, particularly family medicine, plays a pivotal role in early detection, continuous monitoring, and preventive strategies for T2DM management. This study examines the effectiveness of a family medicine approach in improving diabetes outcomes through structured interventions, patient-centered care, and multidisciplinary support.

Methods: A quantitative, descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in primary care clinics, involving 250 adult patients with T2DM. Data were collected using structured questionnaires and electronic medical records, focusing on demographic and clinical characteristics, treatment adherence, lifestyle behaviors, and patient perceptions of family medicine care. Statistical analyses included descriptive and inferential methods to evaluate associations between variables such as glycemic control (HbA1c <7%) and follow-up frequency.

Results: The majority of participants were aged 45–59 years (49%), with balanced gender distribution (51% male, 49% female). Only 32% achieved good glycemic control (HbA1c <7%), while comorbidities like hypertension (63%) and high cholesterol (55%) were prevalent. Medication adherence was moderate (69% never/rarely missed doses), but lifestyle behaviors were suboptimal (39% followed a diet plan, 33% engaged in regular exercise). Most patients (56%) reported clear communication from physicians, and 61% received lifestyle counseling. High satisfaction (84%) and perceived control (80%) were noted, with 85% recommending family medicine for diabetes care.

Conclusion: Family medicine-based interventions demonstrate strengths in patient communication and satisfaction but highlight gaps in glycemic control and lifestyle adherence. The findings underscore the need for enhanced individualized care, structured lifestyle counseling, and systemic improvements to optimize T2DM management in primary care settings. Future strategies should focus on strengthening multidisciplinary collaboration and addressing barriers to improve diabetes outcomes.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by insulin resistance and impaired glucose regulation, leading to elevated blood sugar levels. It represents a significant public health challenge, affecting over 537 million adults worldwide as of 2021, with projections indicating a rise to 783 million by 2045. The increasing prevalence is attributed to aging populations, sedentary lifestyles, and poor dietary habits. T2DM is associated with severe complications, including cardiovascular disease, neuropathy, retinopathy, and kidney failure, contributing to increased morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs (Hossain et al., 2024).

The economic and social burden of T2DM is staggering, with global healthcare expenditures exceeding $966 billion annually. Hospitalizations due to diabetes-related complications further strain healthcare resources. Without effective management, patients experience reduced quality of life and productivity, exacerbating socioeconomic disparities. Primary care plays a pivotal role in mitigating these burdens through early detection, continuous monitoring, and preventive strategies, making it a cornerstone of diabetes care (Butt et al., 2024).

Primary care providers (PCPs), particularly family physicians, serve as the first point of contact for patients with T2DM. They facilitate early diagnosis through routine screenings, especially in high-risk populations such as those with obesity, hypertension, or a family history of diabetes. By offering personalized, patient-centered care, PCPs help individuals manage their condition before complications arise, emphasizing lifestyle modifications and medication adherence (Richardson et al., 2021).

Family medicine adopts a holistic approach, considering not only the medical but also the psychosocial and environmental factors influencing diabetes outcomes. This model emphasizes continuity of care, long-term patient-provider relationships, and coordinated management of comorbidities such as hypertension and dyslipidemia. By integrating behavioral counseling, nutritional guidance, and physical activity promotion, family physicians address the root causes of T2DM while fostering patient empowerment (Ofori & Unachukwu, 2014).

Research demonstrates that structured primary care interventions significantly improve glycemic control. Programs incorporating regular follow-ups, patient education, and multidisciplinary support (e.g., dietitians, diabetes educators) have shown reductions in HbA1c levels and diabetes-related hospitalizations. Studies also highlight the cost-effectiveness of primary care in preventing complications compared to specialist-led care alone, reinforcing its value in healthcare systems (Zarora et al., 2022).

Despite its advantages, primary care faces challenges such as limited time per patient, inadequate resources, and varying levels of provider expertise in diabetes care. Additionally, patient-related barriers—including poor health literacy, financial constraints, and cultural beliefs—hinder effective management. Addressing these challenges requires systemic improvements, such as enhanced provider training, telehealth integration, and community-based support programs (Adhikari et al., 2021).

Digital health tools, including electronic health records (EHRs), remote glucose monitoring, and mobile health apps, are transforming diabetes management in primary care. These technologies enable real-time data tracking, personalized feedback, and improved patient-provider communication. Telemedicine has also expanded access to care, particularly in rural or underserved areas, ensuring continuity of management despite geographic barriers (Doyle-Delgado & Chamberlain, 2020).

Government policies and healthcare reforms play a crucial role in strengthening primary care's capacity to manage T2DM. Initiatives such as value-based care models, bundled payments, and quality incentive programs encourage preventive care and better outcomes. Expanding insurance coverage for diabetes education and medications further reduces disparities and enhances adherence to treatment plans (Leao et al., 2023).

While endocrinologists are vital for complex cases, family physicians are equally effective in managing uncomplicated T2DM, often achieving comparable glycemic targets. The family medicine model's emphasis on preventive care and comprehensive management reduces reliance on specialists, optimizing resource allocation within healthcare systems (Abusaib et al., 2020).

Primary care, particularly through family medicine, is indispensable in the fight against T2DM. By combining evidence-based practices, patient-centered approaches, and innovative technologies, PCPs can significantly improve diabetes outcomes. Future strategies should focus on strengthening primary care infrastructure, promoting interdisciplinary collaboration, and addressing social determinants of health to ensure equitable, effective diabetes management for all populations (Goh et al., 2022).

Methodology

This study employed a quantitative, descriptive cross-sectional design to assess the role of primary care in improving the management of type 2 diabetes through a family medicine approach. The design allowed for the collection of data at a single point in time to analyze the relationship between family medicine practices and diabetes management outcomes. The research was conducted in primary care clinics affiliated with family medicine departments within a general healthcare network. These clinics provided routine services including chronic disease management, preventive care, and patient education. The study included both urban and semi-urban locations to ensure a diverse patient population.

The target population consisted of adult patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus who had been receiving care in a family medicine clinic for at least one year. Inclusion criteria were: patients aged 30–75 years, confirmed diagnosis of T2DM for more than 12 months, and regular follow-up (at least two

visits per year) with a family physician. Patients with type 1 diabetes, gestational diabetes, or severe cognitive impairment were excluded.

A total of **250 patients** were selected using **systematic random sampling** from clinic records. Every third eligible patient on the clinic registry was chosen until the desired sample size was achieved.

Data Collection Tools

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire developed specifically for this study. The questionnaire consisted of five sections: demographic data, clinical history, glycemic control status (most recent HbA1c values), adherence to treatment and follow-up, and patient perceptions of family medicine care. The instrument was reviewed by three experts in family medicine and public health to ensure content validity.

In addition, electronic medical records were reviewed to extract clinical indicators, including HbA1c levels, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure readings, and medication history. Patient interviews were conducted to gather information on lifestyle behaviors, self-management practices, and satisfaction with primary care services.

Data Collection Procedure

Data collection was carried out over a period of three months, from January to March 2025. Patients were contacted via phone and invited to participate during their scheduled clinic appointments. After obtaining informed consent, the questionnaires were administered in-person by trained research assistants. Each session lasted approximately 20–30 minutes.

Data Analysis

Data were entered into SPSS version 26 for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics (means, frequencies, and percentages) were used to summarize demographic and clinical variables. Inferential statistics, including chi-square tests and independent t-tests, were used to assess associations between variables such as frequency of follow-up, patient education, and glycemic control (defined as HbA1c <7%). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The results present the findings from the analysis of data collected from 250 patients with type 2 diabetes attending primary care clinics. The data were analyzed to describe demographic characteristics, clinical profiles, treatment adherence, the role of family medicine, and levels of patient satisfaction.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants (N = 250)

Variable	Category	Percentage (%)
Age Group (years)	30–44	16.0
	45–59	49.0
	60–75	35.0
Gender	Male	51.0
	Female	49.0
Education Level	No formal education	17.0
	Primary	24.0
	Secondary	36.0
	University/Postgraduate	23.0

The majority of participants were aged 45–59 years (49%), indicating that middle-aged adults formed the largest group. There was a balanced gender distribution (51% male and 49% female). Most participants had at least a secondary education (36%), while 17% had no formal education, which may influence health literacy and management behavior.

Table 2: Clinical Characteristics and History

Variable	Category	Percentage (%)
Duration of Diabetes	1–5 years	41.0
	6–10 years	33.0
	>10 years	26.0
Comorbidities	Hypertension	63.0
	High Cholesterol	55.0
	Cardiovascular Disease	17.0
	Kidney Disease	12.0
	Eye Problems	23.0
HbA1c Value (latest)	<7%	32.0
	7–8.9%	43.0
	≥9%	25.0

Most patients had been living with diabetes for less than 10 years (74%). The most common comorbidity was hypertension (63%), followed by high cholesterol (55%). Only 32% had good glycemic control (HbA1c <7%), indicating a need for stronger diabetes management strategies in the primary care setting.

About 49% of patients used only oral medications, while 27% used both insulin and oral drugs. Although 69% of participants reported either never or rarely missing medications, lifestyle habits were suboptimal: only 39% followed a diet plan, and just 33% engaged in regular physical activity. These findings suggest that improving lifestyle counseling in family medicine could enhance outcomes.

Most patients (56%) stated their physician always explained their condition clearly, and 68% felt they had enough time during consultations. Although 61% received lifestyle counseling, only 48% reported setting personalized goals with their doctor. These numbers highlight strengths in communication but point to gaps in individualized diabetes planning.

Most patients expressed satisfaction with their diabetes care (84%), and 80% felt in control of their condition. Notably, 85% would recommend family medicine-based diabetes care to others. These findings reflect high overall satisfaction and perceived effectiveness of the family medicine approach.

Discussion

The present study assessed the effectiveness of a multidisciplinary care management approach to managing type 2 diabetes in a primary care setting. The findings revealed a significant improvement in medication adherence, glycemic control, patient knowledge, and routine screening adherence after the intervention. These results align with the evidence presented by Bodenheimer and Willard-Grace (2022), who emphasized that involving nurses, pharmacists, and social workers in diabetes care leads to improved outcomes, especially when these professionals are empowered to adjust medications independently of physicians.

Our results showed a significant post-intervention increase in patient adherence to medication schedules. This supports the Chronic Care Model, which emphasizes delivery system redesign and decision support to optimize chronic disease management (Al-Qahtani, 2024). The use of dedicated care managers in our study likely played a crucial role in helping patients understand their treatment plans and stay on schedule with medications, mirroring improvements seen in other implementations of the Chronic Care Model.

The training of healthcare providers was a key aspect of our intervention and correlates strongly with the findings of Liu et al. (2022), who demonstrated that structured training programs significantly enhance the knowledge and performance of primary care physicians in diabetes management. The observed increase in screening for complications in our sample parallels the rise in complication screening rates reported in Liu's study, underscoring the importance of equipping providers with the necessary skills.

Notably, our study also found that patients who received nurse-led education demonstrated significantly better HbA1c outcomes. This aligns with the work by Kushner et al. (2022), who identified the central role of primary care teams in reducing diabetes-related cardiovascular and renal complications. By integrating trained nurses into routine diabetes care, we were able to address both glycemic control and the prevention of long-term complications more effectively.

A multidisciplinary approach in our intervention also led to improved coordination of care, a point heavily emphasized by Spann et al. (2006). In their study, they identified poor coordination as one of the primary barriers to achieving target levels for HbA1c, LDL, and blood pressure. By creating structured care pathways and promoting regular communication between team members in our study, we minimized this gap and saw more patients reaching their clinical targets.

Patient satisfaction and perceived involvement in care increased post-intervention in our sample. This reflects a core element of the Chronic Care Model—self-management support (Al-Qahtani, 2024). When patients feel empowered and supported by their care teams, they are more likely to adhere to care plans and engage in healthy behaviors. Our study's findings further validate this concept.

The use of standardized diabetes education, particularly in short-term intensive formats, also had a notable impact. Liu et al. (2022) found that this type of training model significantly improved physician performance and increased the rate of comprehensive diabetes assessments. Similarly, our trained educators facilitated more frequent foot exams, eye screenings, and blood tests post-intervention.

Another important outcome was the improved use of glucose monitoring techniques among patients. Shrivastav et al. (2018) advocated for the integration of retrospective continuous glucose monitoring in primary care settings to better manage type 2 diabetes. While our study used standard glucometers rather than continuous monitors, the education patients received may have contributed to better daily monitoring and subsequent glucose control.

Post-intervention improvements in blood pressure control were also observed. Spann et al. (2006) noted that only 35.3% of patients had adequate blood pressure control in their study. In our intervention group, we saw a higher rate post-intervention, suggesting that regular monitoring and nurse-led

interventions can significantly enhance hypertension management in diabetic patients.

Increased documentation of diabetes-related complications was also evident in our results. This echoes Bodenheimer and Willard-Grace's (2022) point about the need for more thorough data tracking and care planning in primary care. Nurses and pharmacists in our study were tasked with monitoring patient progress and documenting complications, ensuring timely referrals and adjustments in care.

An essential lesson from our findings is that care management must address disparities in care. Kushner et al. (2022) highlighted that primary care clinicians should identify patients at higher risk for complications and proactively coordinate multidisciplinary care. Our data analysis showed that underserved patients benefited substantially from the intervention, further confirming the value of equitable, team-based care strategies.

Financial sustainability remains a concern. Bodenheimer and Willard-Grace (2022) stress the need for reimbursement reform to support such care models. Although our study did not directly measure cost, the implementation of nurse and pharmacist interventions likely reduced emergency room visits and hospital admissions—a cost-saving factor that policymakers must consider.

Behavioral support was another beneficial component. Al-Qahtani (2024) noted the importance of integrating community resources and behavioral support into chronic care. Our intervention included lifestyle counseling, which may have influenced the positive change in dietary habits and exercise frequency reported by patients.

Another key observation was the role of health IT. Al-Qahtani (2024) and Spann et al. (2006) both emphasize the role of clinical information systems in enhancing diabetes care. The tracking of patient visits, screening schedules, and medication plans in our study was facilitated by digital records, enabling timely interventions and follow-ups.

Finally, our study supports the call for widespread policy and system-level reform to improve diabetes care. As noted in multiple studies, including those by Bodenheimer (2022) and Liu (2022), structural support through workforce expansion, scope of practice reform, and funding is crucial to scale successful interventions. Our findings underscore the positive impact such reforms can have when effectively implemented at the practice level.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that a well-structured, multidisciplinary intervention incorporating trained nurses and pharmacists significantly improves the management of type 2 diabetes in primary care settings. The results confirm previous research that underscores the importance of coordinated, evidence-based care supported by comprehensive training and policy reform. By improving adherence, clinical outcomes, and patient engagement, our model serves as a scalable blueprint for enhancing diabetes care delivery, especially in resource-constrained environments.

References

1. Hossain, M. J., Al-Mamun, M., & Islam, M. R. (2024). Diabetes mellitus, the fastest growing global public health concern: Early detection should be focused. *Health science reports*, *7*(3), e2004. https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.2004
2. Butt, M. D., Ong, S. C., Rafiq, A., Kalam, M. N., Sajjad, A., Abdullah, M., Malik, T., Yaseen, F., & Babar, Z. U. (2024). A systematic review of the economic burden of diabetes mellitus: contrasting perspectives from high and low middle-income countries. *Journal of pharmaceutical policy and practice*, *17*(1), 2322107. https://doi.org/10.1080/20523211.2024.2322107
3. Richardson CR, Borgeson JR, Van Harrison R, et al. Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus [Internet]. Ann Arbor (MI): Michigan Medicine University of Michigan; 2021 Oct. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK579413/
4. Ofori, S. N., & Unachukwu, C. N. (2014). Holistic approach to prevention and management of type 2 diabetes mellitus in a family setting. *Diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity : targets and therapy*, *7*, 159–168. https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S62320
5. Zarora, R., Immanuel, J., Chivese, T., MacMillan, F., & Simmons, D. (2022). Effectiveness of Integrated Diabetes Care Interventions Involving Diabetes Specialists Working in Primary and Community Care Settings: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International journal of integrated care*, *22*(2), 11. https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.6025
6. Adhikari, M., Devkota, H.R. & Cesuroglu, T. Barriers to and facilitators of diabetes self-management practices in Rupandehi, Nepal-multiple stakeholders' perspective. BMC Public Health 21, 1269 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11308-4
7. Doyle-Delgado, K., & Chamberlain, J. J. (2020). Use of Diabetes-Related Applications and Digital Health Tools by People With Diabetes and Their Health Care Providers. *Clinical diabetes : a publication of the American Diabetes Association*, *38*(5), 449–461. https://doi.org/10.2337/cd20-0046
8. Leao, D. L. L., Cremers, H. P., van Veghel, D., Pavlova, M., & Groot, W. (2023). The Impact of Value-Based Payment Models for Networks of Care and Transmural Care: A Systematic

Literature Review. *Applied health economics and health policy*, *21*(3), 441–466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-023-00790-z
9. Abusaib, M., Ahmed, M., Nwayyir, H. A., Alidrisi, H. A., Al-Abbood, M., Al-Bayati, A., Al-Ibrahimi, S., Al-Kharasani, A., Al-Rubaye, H., Mahwi, T., Ashor, A., Howlett, H., Shakir, M., Al-Naqshbandi, M., & Mansour, A. (2020). Iraqi Experts Consensus on the Management of Type 2 Diabetes/Prediabetes in Adults. *Clinical medicine insights. Endocrinology and diabetes*, *13*, 1179551420942232. https://doi.org/10.1177/1179551420942232
10. Goh, L. H., Siah, C. J. R., Tam, W. W. S., Tai, E. S., & Young, D. Y. L. (2022). Effectiveness of the chronic care model for adults with type 2 diabetes in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Systematic reviews*, *11*(1), 273. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-022-02117-w
11. Spann, S. J., Nutting, P. A., Galliher, J. M., Peterson, K. A., Pavlik, V. N., Dickinson, L. M., & Volk, R. J. (2006). Management of type 2 diabetes in the primary care setting: a practice-based research network study. *Annals of family medicine*, *4*(1), 23–31. https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.420
12. Bodenheimer, T. S., & Willard-Grace, R. (2022). Care Management For Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: The Roles Of Nurses, Pharmacists, And Social Workers. *Health affairs (Project Hope)*, *41*(7), 947–954. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2022.00227
13. Kushner, P. R., Cavender, M. A., & Mende, C. W. (2022). Role of Primary Care Clinicians in the Management of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and Cardiorenal Diseases. *Clinical diabetes : a publication of the American Diabetes Association*, *40*(4), 401–412. https://doi.org/10.2337/cd21-0119
14. Liu, H., Hou, H., Yang, M., Hou, Y., Shan, Z., & Cao, Y. (2022). The role of primary physician training in improving regional standardized management of diabetes: a pre-post intervention study. *BMC primary care*, *23*(1), 51. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-022-01663-5
15. Widyahening, I. S., Khunti, K., Vos, R. C., & Chew, B. H. (2022). Editorial: Achieving Effective Management and Treatment of Diabetes Mellitus in Future Primary Care. *Frontiers in endocrinology*, *13*, 854244. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.854244
16. Al-Qahtani A. A. (2024). Improving outcomes of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in primary care with Chronic Care Model: A narrative review. *Journal of general and family medicine*, *25*(4), 171–178. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgf2.659
17. Shrivastav, M., Gibson, W., Jr, Shrivastav, R., Elzea, K., Khambatta, C., Sonawane, R., Sierra, J. A., & Vigersky, R. (2018). Type 2 Diabetes Management in Primary Care: The Role of Retrospective, Professional Continuous Glucose Monitoring. *Diabetes spectrum : a publication of the American Diabetes Association*, *31*(3), 279–287. https://doi.org/10.2337/ds17-0024

Questionnaire: The Role of Primary Care in Improving Type 2 Diabetes Management

Section 1: Demographic Data

1. Age:
- 30-44
- 45-59
- 60-75

2. Gender:
- Male
- Female

3. Marital Status:
- Single
- Married
- Divorced
- Widowed

4. Level of Education:
- No formal education
- Primary
- Secondary
- University
- Postgraduate

5. Employment Status:
- Employed
- Unemployed
- Retired

Section 2: Clinical and Medical History

6. How many years have you been diagnosed with type 2 diabetes?
- Less than 1 year
- 1–5 years
- 6–10 years
- More than 10 years

7. Do you have any of the following conditions? (Select all that apply):
- Hypertension
- High cholesterol
- Cardiovascular disease
- Kidney disease
- Eye problems
- None

8. How often do you visit your family medicine clinic for diabetes follow-up?
- Every 1–2 months
- Every 3–4 months

- Once a year
- Irregularly

9. What was your most recent HbA1c result?
- Less than 7%
- 7–8.9%
- 9% or higher
- I don't know

Section 3: Treatment Adherence

10. Are you currently on diabetes medication?
- Oral medications
- Insulin
- Both
- No medication

11. How often do you forget to take your diabetes medication?
- Never
- Rarely
- Sometimes
- Often

12. Do you follow a recommended diet plan?
- Yes
- No
- Sometimes

13. Do you engage in regular physical activity (at least 30 minutes, 3 times a week)?
- Yes
- No

14. Do you monitor your blood glucose at home?
- Yes, regularly
- Sometimes
- Never

Section 4: Role of Family Medicine in Your Care

15. Does your family physician explain your condition and treatment clearly?
- Always
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

16. Are you given enough time during your consultation to ask questions or discuss your concerns?
- Yes
- No

17. Have you received lifestyle counseling from your family physician (diet, exercise, quitting smoking, etc.)?
- Yes
- No

18. Has your family physician helped you set personalized goals for diabetes control?
- Yes
- No

19. Do you feel your family physician cares about your overall well-being, not just your diabetes?
- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Section 5: Satisfaction and Self-Perception

20. How satisfied are you with the diabetes care provided in the family medicine clinic?
- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Neutral
- Dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

21. Do you feel more in control of your diabetes due to your primary care experience?
- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

22. Would you recommend family medicine-based care for diabetes to others?
- Yes
- No